



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT, OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office: Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS Advanding, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/699,693	10/30/2000	Michael L. Howard	1351 P	7042
21552	7590 06/21/2004		EXAMINER	
MADSON & METCALF			PATEL, NITIN C	
GATEWAY TOWER WEST SUITE 900			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	15 WEST SOUTH TEMPLE SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84101			1/
ONET DAKE	3 011 1, 01 04101		DATE MAILED: 06/21/2004	1 14


Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



## Application No. Applicant(s) HOWARD ET AL. 09/699,693 Advisory Action **Art Unit** Examiner Nitin C. Patel 2116 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 07 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_\_\_\_. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 35 U.S.C. 112 second paragraph for claim 6. 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: see continuation sheet. 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. $\boxtimes$ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) $\square$ will not be entered or b) $\boxtimes$ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: \_\_\_\_. Claim(s) objected to: . Claim(s) rejected: 1-20. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \_\_\_\_ 8. The drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_. 10. ☐ Other: I VINE H BROWNE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2000 > 100



- A. Examiner agrees with attorney that it was a typographical error about withdrawal of claim2. PTOL-326 office action summary form of previous office action with correction is submitted herewith. Thanks for pointing it out.
- B. 35 U.S.C. second paragraph rejection for claim 6 overcome with proposed amendment.
- C. Independent claims are broad enough to read on prior art as described in previous office action. In response to arguments for element of claim 1, electronic device with communication port which is inherently for electronically connecting to the other device which is separate and distinct from the electronic device to which it is connected as shown in fig. 7, and regarding reprogrammable memory of ISP system is reprogrammed with new program code from pager network is read on para 0006, 008 of page 1 as cited in previous office action and better understood with para 0005 on page 1 and para 0023 of page 2.